

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/807,562	03/23/2004	David L. Marvit	073338.0190 (04-50462 FLA	4041		
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 06/12/2007 'S L.L.P.	7	EXAMINER			
2001 ROSS AV SUITE 600	/ENUE	LIANG, REGINA				
DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2629			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/12/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/807,562	MARVIT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Regina Liang	2629		

	Regina Liang	2629	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
<u> </u>		mphant Amenument	(FTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:						
		Regina Liang Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 2629

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Terminal Disclaimer filed 5/29/07 has been approved and overcomes the Double Patenting rejections.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not persuasive, Ide's remote control transmitting circuit 43 and infrared LED 34 read on "a wireless interface" as claimed..